

# Relatively Injective Modules with Respect to Torsion Theory

SONG Xian-mei<sup>1</sup>, CHEN Jian-long<sup>2</sup>

(1. College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Anhui Normal University, Wuhu 241000, China; 2. Department of Mathematics, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China )

**Abstract:** For a hereditary torsion theory  $\tau$ , this paper mainly discuss properties of  $A$ - $\tau$ -injective modules, where  $A$  is a fixed left  $R$ -module. It is proved that if  $M$  is an  $A$ - $\tau$ -injective,  $B$  is a submodule of  $A$ , then 1)  $M$  is  $A/B$ - $\tau$ -injective; 2)  $M$  is  $B$ - $\tau$ -injective when  $B$  is  $\tau$ -dense in  $A$ . Furthermore, we show that if  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  are relatively injective modules, then  $A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$  is self- $\tau$ -injective if and only if  $A_i$  is self- $\tau$ -injective for each  $i$ .

**Key words:** hereditary torsion theory;  $\tau$ -dense submodule;  $A$ - $\tau$ -injective module

**2000 MR Subject Classification:** 16S90, 16D70

**CLC number:** O153 **Document code:** A

**Article ID:** 1002-0462 (2007) 04-0500-04

## §1. Introduction

Throughout this paper,  $R$  denotes an associative ring with non-zero identity and all modules are left unital  $R$ -modules. We denote by  $\tau$  a hereditary torsion theory on the category  $R\text{-Mod}$  of left  $R$ -modules.  $\tau$ -injective modules have been studied by many authors (e.g. see [1]~[4]). In the present paper, we are interested in study the properties of  $A$ - $\tau$ -injective modules.

Now, let us recall some basic notations and definitions.

A pair  $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  of classes of left  $R$ -modules is called an hereditary torsion theory if it satisfy the following conditions 1)  $\text{Hom}_R(T, F) = 0$  for any  $T \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ ; 2)  $\mathcal{T}$  is closed under submodules, homomorphic images, extensions and direct sums; 3)  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under

---

**Received date:** 2005-06-15

**Foundation item:** Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10571026); Supported by the Research Foundation of the Education Committee of Anhui Province(2006kj050c); Supported by the Doctoral Foundation of Anhui Normal University

**Biography:** SONG Xian-mei(1977-), female, native of Xuzhou, Jiangsu, a lecturer of Anhui Normal University, Ph.D., engages in ring theory and homological algebra.

injective hull, submodules, extensions and direct products.  $\mathcal{T}$  is called  $\tau$ -torsion class,  $\mathcal{F}$  is called  $\tau$ -torsionfree class(see [5]).

A module is said to be  $\tau$ -injective if it is injective with respect to every monomorphism with its cokernel in  $\mathcal{T}$ (see [1], [6]).

A submodule  $B$  of a module  $A$  is called  $\tau$ -dense in  $A$  if  $A/B$  is in  $\mathcal{T}$ (see [6]).

A module is said to be  $A$ -injective if it is injective with respect to every monomorphism  $f: B \rightarrow A$ (see [7]).

A module  $M$  is said to be self-injective if it is  $M$ -injective(see [7]).

Let us consider the notion of relative  $\tau$ -injectivity.

**Definition 1.1** Let  $A$  be a module. A module  $M$  is called  $A$ - $\tau$ -injective if for every  $\tau$ -dense submodule  $B$  of  $A$ ,  $\text{Hom}_R(A, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(B, M)$  is surjective.

A module  $M$  is called self- $\tau$ -injective if it is  $M$ - $\tau$ -injective.

Two modules  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are said to be relatively  $\tau$ -injective if  $A_1$  is  $A_2$ - $\tau$ -injective and  $A_2$  is  $A_1$ - $\tau$ -injective.

In this paper, suppose  $A$  is a fixed  $R$ -module, we prove that if  $M$  is an  $A$ - $\tau$ -injective,  $B$  is a submodule of  $A$ , then (1)  $M$  is  $A/B$ - $\tau$ -injective; (2)  $M$  is  $B$ - $\tau$ -injective when  $B$  is  $\tau$ -dense in  $A$ . Also, if  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  are relatively injective modules, it is proved that  $A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$  is self- $\tau$ -injective if and only if  $A_i$  is self- $\tau$ -injective for each  $i$ .

## §2. Main Results

**Lemma 2.1** Let  $A$  be a module, and  $(M_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of modules. Then  $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$  is  $A$ - $\tau$ -injective if and only if  $M_i$  is  $A$ - $\tau$ -injective for every  $i \in I$ .

**Proof** Similar to the proof for  $A$ -injective modules(see [7]).

**Theorem 2.2** Let  $A_1, A_2$  be modules,  $A = A_1 \oplus A_2$ , and let  $p_1, p_2$  be the canonical projections on  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  respectively. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(1)  $A_1$  is  $A_2$ - $\tau$ -injective.

(2) For every submodule  $B$  of  $A$  such that  $B \cap A_1 = 0$  and  $p_2(B)$  is a  $\tau$ -dense submodule of  $A_2$ , there exists a submodule  $C$  of  $A$  such that  $A = A_1 \oplus C$  and  $B \subseteq C$ .

**Proof** (1)  $\implies$  (2) Suppose  $B$  is a submodule of  $A$  such that  $B \cap A_1 = 0$  and  $p_2(B)$  is a  $\tau$ -dense submodule of  $A_2$ . We define  $f: B \rightarrow p_2(B)$  given by  $f(b) = p_2(b)$  for any  $b \in B$ . It is easy to see that  $f$  is an isomorphism since  $B \cap A_1 = 0$ . By hypothesis, the homomorphism  $p_1 f^{-1}: p_2(B) \rightarrow A_1$  extends to a homomorphism  $g: A_2 \rightarrow A_1$ . Let

$$C = \{g(a) + a \mid a \in A_2\}.$$

Then  $C$  is a submodule of  $A$ . For any  $a \in A$ ,  $a = a_1 + a_2 = (a_1 - g(a_2)) + (a_2 + g(a_2))$ , hence  $A = A_1 + C$ . For any  $x \in A_1 \cap C$ ,  $x = a_2 + g(a_2)$  for some  $a_2 \in A_2$  and  $x \in A_1$ ,

then  $a_2 = x - g(a_2) \in A_1 \cap A_2$ , and so  $a_2 = 0$ ,  $x = 0$ , therefore  $A = A_1 \oplus C$ . Since  $b = p_1(b) + p_2(b) = p_1 f^{-1} p_2(b) + p_2(b) = g p_2(b) + p_2(b) \in C$  for any  $b \in B$ , we have  $B \subseteq C$ .

(2)  $\implies$  (1) Suppose  $M$  is a  $\tau$ -dense submodule of  $A_2$ ,  $f : M \rightarrow A_1$  is any homomorphism. Let

$$B = \{m - f(m) | m \in M\},$$

then  $B$  is a submodule of  $A$  and  $B \cap A_1 = 0$ . Also  $p_2(B) = M$  is a  $\tau$ -dense submodule of  $A_2$ . By hypothesis, there exists a submodule  $C$  of  $A$  such that  $A = A_1 \oplus C$  and  $B \subseteq C$ . Let  $p : A \rightarrow A_1$  denote the projection of  $A$  with kernel  $C$ , and let  $q : A_2 \rightarrow A_1$  denote restriction of  $p$  to  $A_2$ . Then for any  $m \in M$ , we have

$$q(m) = p(m) = p(m - f(m)) + p f(m) = p f(m) = f(m).$$

Hence  $q$  extends  $f$ , and consequently  $A_1$  is  $A_2$ - $\tau$ -injective.

**Theorem 2.3** Let  $A$  be a module,  $M$  be an  $A$ - $\tau$ -injective module,  $B$  be a submodule of  $A$ . Then

- (1)  $M$  is  $A/B$ - $\tau$ -injective.
- (2) If  $B$  is  $\tau$ -dense in  $A$ , then  $M$  is  $B$ - $\tau$ -injective.

**Proof** (1) Suppose  $T$  is a  $\tau$ -dense submodule of  $A/B$ , there exists a submodule  $C$  of  $A$  such that  $C/B = T$  and  $C$  is  $\tau$ -dense in  $A$ . Let  $f : C/B \rightarrow M$  be any homomorphism. Denote by  $i : C \rightarrow A$  and  $j : C/B \rightarrow A/B$  the inclusions and by  $p : C \rightarrow C/B$  and  $q : A \rightarrow A/B$  the natural homomorphism. Since  $C$  is  $\tau$ -dense in  $A$  and  $M$  is  $A$ - $\tau$ -injective, there exists a homomorphism  $g : A \rightarrow M$  such that  $gi = fp$ . It follows from  $B = \ker p \subseteq \ker fp = \ker gi \subseteq \ker g$  that there is a homomorphism  $h : A/B \rightarrow M$  such that  $g = hq$ . For any  $c \in C$ ,

$$hj(c + B) = hjp(c) = hqi(c) = fp(c) = f(c + B).$$

Thus  $M$  is  $A/B$ - $\tau$ -injective.

(2) Assume  $B$  is a  $\tau$ -dense submodule of  $A$ . Let  $C$  be a  $\tau$ -dense submodule of  $B$  and  $f : C \rightarrow M$  be a homomorphism. Then  $C$  is a  $\tau$ -dense submodule of  $A$ . Denote by  $i : C \rightarrow B$  and  $j : B \rightarrow A$  inclusion homomorphisms. Since  $M$  is  $A$ - $\tau$ -injective, it has a homomorphism  $g : A \rightarrow M$  such that  $gji = f$ . Therefore the homomorphism  $gj : B \rightarrow M$  extends  $f$ . Thus  $M$  is  $B$ - $\tau$ -injective.

**Corollary 2.4** Let  $A_1, A_2$  be modules such that  $A_1 \oplus A_2$  is self- $\tau$ -injective. Then  $A_1, A_2$  are both self- $\tau$ -injective and relatively  $\tau$ -injective.

**Proof** By Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 2.1.

**Theorem 2.5** Let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be modules. If a module is  $A_1$ - $\tau$ -injective and  $A_2$ -injective, then it is  $(A_1 \oplus A_2)$ - $\tau$ -injective.

**Proof** Denote  $A = A_1 \oplus A_2$ . Let  $M$  be an  $A_1$ - $\tau$ -injective module and an  $A_2$ -injective module. Suppose  $B$  is a  $\tau$ -dense submodule of  $A$  and  $f : B \rightarrow M$  is a homomorphism. Let  $\mu$  denote the restriction of  $f$  to  $B \cap A_1$ ,  $i : B \rightarrow A$  and  $j : B \cap A_1 \rightarrow A_1$  the inclusion

and  $i_1 : A_1 \rightarrow A$  denote the canonical injective. Since  $A_1/(B \cap A_1) \cong (B + A_1)/B$  and  $(B + A_1)/B \subseteq A/B$ ,  $B \cap A_1$  is  $\tau$ -dense in  $A_1$ . By hypothesis, it has a homomorphism  $\nu : A_1 \rightarrow M$  such that  $\nu j = \mu$ . Since  $A_1$  is a direct summand of  $A$ . Denote  $\omega = \nu p_1 : A \rightarrow M$ , then  $\omega i_1 j = \nu p_1 i_1 j = \nu j = \mu$ . Denote by  $g$  the restriction of  $\omega$  to  $B$ . Since  $B \cap A_1 \subseteq \ker(f - g)$ , we can define a homomorphism  $h : B/B \cap A_1 \rightarrow M$  by  $h(b + B \cap A_1) = f(b) - g(b)$  for any  $b \in B$ . Since  $B \cap A_1 \subseteq A_1 = \ker p_2$ , we can also define a homomorphism  $\alpha : B/B \cap A_1 \rightarrow A_2$  by  $\alpha(b + B \cap A_1) = p_2(b)$ . It is easy to see that  $\alpha$  is a monomorphism. By hypothesis,  $M$  is  $A_2$ -injective, there exists a homomorphism  $\beta : A_2 \rightarrow M$  such that  $\beta\alpha = h$ . Let  $\gamma = \omega + \beta p_2 : A \rightarrow M$ . For any  $b \in B$ ,

$$\gamma i(b) = \omega(b) + \beta p_2(b) = \omega(b) + \beta\alpha(b + B \cap A_1) = \omega(b) + h(b + B \cap A_1) = f(b).$$

Therefore  $M$  is  $A$ - $\tau$ -injective.

**Corollary 2.6** Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  be relatively injective modules. Then  $A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$  is self- $\tau$ -injective if and only if  $A_i$  is self- $\tau$ -injective for each  $i$ .

**Proof** It follows from Theorem 2.5 and Corollary 2.4.

### [References]

- [1] GOLAN J S. Torsion Theories[M]. New York: Longman Scientific & Technical, 1986.
- [2] SANTA CLARA C, SMITH P F. Modules which are self-injective relative to closed submodules[J]. Algebra and its applications, 1999: 487-499.
- [3] HIRANO H. On injective hulls of simple modules[J]. J Algebra, 2000, 225:299-308.
- [4] CRIVEI S. On  $m$ -injective modules over noetherian rings[J]. Pure Math and Appl, 2000, 11:173-181.
- [5] JONES M F. Coherence relative to an hereditary torsion theory[J]. Comm in Algebra, 1982, 10(7): 719-739.
- [6] CRIVEI S. On  $\tau$ -injective hull of modules [J]. Publ Math Debrecen, 2002, 62: 145-155.
- [7] WISBAUER R. Foundations of Module and Ring Theory [M]. Paris: Gordon and Breach, 1991.