

\mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein Cotorsion Modules

HE Dong-lin, LI Yu-yan

(Department of Mathematics, Longnan Teachers College, Longnan 742500, China)

Abstract: Let R be an associative ring with identity, and \mathcal{Y} be a class of right R -modules, which contains all injective right R -modules. In this paper, we introduce the definition of \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion modules, which is a generalization of cotorsion and Gorenstein cotorsion modules. We discuss the relationship between Gorenstein cotorsion, weakly Gorenstein cotorsion and \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion modules. We investigate properties and characterizations of \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion modules.

Keywords: \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion; \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat; Strongly Gorenstein flat; Weakly Gorenstein cotorsion; Coherent ring

2000 MR Subject Classification: 13D07, 18G20, 18G25

CLC number: O154.2 **Document code:** A

Article ID: 1002-0462 (2021) 03-0288-08

DOI: 10.13371/j.cnki.chin.q.j.m.2021.03.007

§1. Introduction

Gorenstein homological theory plays an important role in relative homological algebra. In 2001, Enochs et al [5] introduced Gorenstein flat modules over an associative ring R , which is an important generalization of flat modules. Lei and Meng [7] investigated Gorenstein cotorsion modules relative to Gorenstein flat modules, and got many properties similar to cotorsion modules. Ding et al [1] introduced and investigated strongly Gorenstein flat modules. Based on the above, Zhao et al [12] discussed weakly Gorenstein cotorsion modules relative to strongly Gorenstein flat modules. Meng [9] gave the notion of \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat modules, in which \mathcal{Y} is a class of right R -modules and contains all injective right R -modules. As is well known, flat modules are \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat, and \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat modules are Gorenstein flat. When \mathcal{Y} is the class of all injective right R -modules, \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat modules are precisely Gorenstein flat modules. Naturally, we can consider cotorsion modules relative to \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat modules, which will be called \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion modules in the paper. Furthermore, we investigate the relationship between Gorenstein cotorsion modules, weakly Gorenstein cotorsion modules

Received date: 2021-03-26

Foundation item: Supported by the 2020 Scientific Research Projects in Universities of Gansu Province (Grant No. 2020A-277).

Biographies: HE Dong-lin (1983-), female, native of Baiyin, Gansu, lecturer of Longnan Teachers College, M.S.D., engages in homological algebra; LI Yu-yan (1983-), male, native of Longnan, Gansu, lecturer of Longnan Teachers College, M.S.D., engages in homological algebra.

and \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion modules.

We now state main results of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let \mathcal{F} be the class of all flat left R -modules, and \mathcal{Y} be a class of right R -modules which contains all injective right R -modules. If $\mathcal{Y}^+ \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, then every \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion left R -module is weakly Gorenstein cotorsion.*

Theorem 1.2. *The following statements hold:*

(1) *For a family $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ of R -modules, all M_i are \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion if and only if $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$ is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion.*

(2) *Let $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of left R -modules. If M, L are \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion modules, then N is also \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion.*

Theorem 1.3. *Let R be a right coherent ring, every left R -module has finite \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat dimension, and \mathcal{A} be a nonempty collection of left ideals of R . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *Every \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion module ${}_R M$ is \mathcal{A} -injective.*
- (2) *R/A is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Theorem 1.4. *Let R be a left perfect ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *Every left R -module is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion.*
- (2) *Every \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat left R -module is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion.*

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains some known notions and results for use throughout the paper, and we introduce \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion modules, and discuss the relationship between Gorenstein cotorsion modules, weakly Gorenstein cotorsion modules and \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion modules. Section 3 is devoted to properties and characterizations of \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion modules.

§2. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, R will be an associative ring with identity and all modules are unital R -modules. We use $R\text{-Mod}$ (resp., $\text{Mod-}R$) to denote the class of left (resp., right) R -modules. ${}_R M$ (resp., M_R) denotes a left (resp., right) R -module M . A left R -module C is called cotorsion if $\text{Ext}_R^1(F, C) = 0$ for any flat left R -module F (see [8]). Following [5], a module ${}_R M$ is said to be Gorenstein flat, if there exists an exact sequence of flat left R -modules $\cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow \cdots$ with $M \cong \text{Ker}(F^0 \rightarrow F^1)$, such that $E \otimes_R -$ leaves the sequence exact for every injective right R -module E . A module ${}_R N$ is called Gorenstein cotorsion if $\text{Ext}_R^1(F, N) = 0$ for any Gorenstein flat left R -module F (see [7]). In addition, a module ${}_R M$ is said to be strongly Gorenstein flat, if there exists an exact sequence of projective left R -modules $\cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow P^1 \rightarrow \cdots$ with $M \cong \text{Ker}(P^0 \rightarrow P^1)$, such that $\text{Hom}_R(-, F)$ leaves the sequence exact for every flat left R -module F . When R is a right coherent ring, every strongly Gorenstein flat left R -module is Gorenstein flat. A module ${}_R N$ is called weakly Gorenstein cotorsion if $\text{Ext}_R^1(F, N) = 0$ for any strongly Gorenstein flat left R -module F (see [12]).

Let \mathcal{X} be a class of left R -modules that contains all projective left R -modules, and \mathcal{Y} be a class of right R -modules that contains all injective right R -modules. According to [9], a module ${}_R M$ is said to be \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective, if there exists an exact sequence of projective left R -modules $\cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow P^1 \rightarrow \cdots$ with $M \cong \text{Ker}(P^0 \rightarrow P^1)$, such that $\text{Hom}_R(-, X)$ leaves the sequence exact for every $X \in \mathcal{X}$. A module ${}_R N$ is called \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat if there exists an exact sequence of flat left R -modules $\cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow \cdots$ with $M \cong \text{Ker}(F^0 \rightarrow F^1)$, such that $Y \otimes_R -$ leaves the sequence exact for every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$.

Remark 2.1. (1) \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat modules are Gorenstein flat.

(2) If \mathcal{Y} is the class of all injective right R -modules, then Gorenstein flat modules are precisely \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat modules.

(3) If \mathcal{X} is the class of all flat modules, then the class of \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective modules coincides with that of strongly Gorenstein flat modules.

Let \mathcal{A} be a nonempty collection of left ideals of a ring R . ${}_R M$ is said to be \mathcal{A} -injective, if every R -homomorphism $f: A \rightarrow M$ with $A \in \mathcal{A}$ can be lifted to an R -homomorphism $g: R \rightarrow M$, or equivalently $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/A, M) = 0$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ (see [11]).

Let \mathcal{C} be a class of left R -module (closed under isomorphisms). A \mathcal{C} -precover of ${}_R M$ is a morphism $\varphi: C \rightarrow M$ with $C \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\text{Hom}_R(C', \varphi)$ is surjective for every $C' \in \mathcal{C}$. If in addition, any morphism $\alpha: C \rightarrow C'$ verifying $\varphi \circ \alpha = \varphi$ is an automorphism, then φ is said to be a \mathcal{C} -cover of ${}_R M$ (see [2]). Denote $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \{M \in R\text{-Mod} \mid \text{Ext}_R^1(C, M) = 0 \text{ for any } C \in \mathcal{C}\}$, and ${}^\perp \mathcal{C} = \{N \in R\text{-Mod} \mid \text{Ext}_R^1(N, C) = 0 \text{ for any } C \in \mathcal{C}\}$. A pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ of classes of left R -modules is called a cotorsion theory (which is also called a cotorsion pair), if $\mathcal{F}^\perp = \mathcal{C}$ and ${}^\perp \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{F}$ (see [3]). A cotorsion theory $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ is complete, if for any $M \in R\text{-Mod}$, there exist short exact sequences $0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow C' \rightarrow F' \rightarrow 0$ with $F, F' \in \mathcal{F}$ and $C, C' \in \mathcal{C}$ (see [10]). A cotorsion theory $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ is hereditary, if whenever $0 \rightarrow L' \rightarrow L \rightarrow L'' \rightarrow 0$ is exact with $L, L'' \in \mathcal{F}$, then L' is also in \mathcal{F} (see [4, 6]).

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{Y} be a class of right R -modules that contains all injective right R -modules. A module ${}_R M$ is said to be \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion provided that $\text{Ext}_R^1(Q, M) = 0$ for any \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat left R -module Q .

It is obvious that cotorsion modules and Gorenstein cotorsion modules are \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion. If \mathcal{Y} is the class of all injective right R -modules, then the class of Gorenstein cotorsion left R -modules coincides with that of \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion left R -modules.

Lemma 2.1. ^[9] Let \mathcal{X} be a class of left R -modules that contains all projective left R -modules, and \mathcal{Y} be a class of right R -modules that contains all injective right R -modules. If $\mathcal{Y}^+ \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, where $\mathcal{Y}^+ = \{Y^+ = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(Y, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \mid Y \in \mathcal{Y}\}$, then every \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective left R -module is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat.

Proposition 2.1. Let \mathcal{F} be the class of all flat left R -modules, and \mathcal{I} be the class of all injective right R -modules. If $\mathcal{I}^+ \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, then any \mathcal{F} -Gorenstein projective left R -module is \mathcal{I} -Gorenstein flat, which means strongly Gorenstein flat modules are Gorenstein flat.

Proposition 2.2. *Let \mathcal{F} be the class of all flat left R -modules, and \mathcal{Y} be a class of right R -modules that contains all injective right R -modules. If $\mathcal{Y}^+ \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, then every strongly Gorenstein flat left R -module is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat.*

Proof. This is not difficult to prove by Remark 2.1(3) and Lemma 2.1. \square

Following the above proposition, we can get the relationship between \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion left R -modules and weakly Gorenstein cotorsion modules.

Theorem 2.1. *Let \mathcal{F} be the class of all flat left R -modules, and \mathcal{Y} be a class of right R -modules which contains all injective right R -modules. If $\mathcal{Y}^+ \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, then every \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion left R -module is weakly Gorenstein cotorsion.*

According to definition of weakly Gorenstein cotorsion and \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion modules, it is easy to obtain that every \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion left R -module is weakly Gorenstein cotorsion.

Corollary 2.1. *If R is a right coherent ring, then every Gorenstein cotorsion left R -module is weakly Gorenstein cotorsion.*

§3. Properties and characterizations of \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion modules

In this section, \mathcal{Y} denotes a class of right R -modules that contains all injective modules.

Theorem 3.1. *The following statements hold:*

- (1) *For a family $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ of R -modules, all M_i are \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion if and only if $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$ is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion.*
- (2) *Let $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of left R -modules. If M, L are \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion modules, then N is also \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion.*

Proof. (1) Let $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of R -modules. One hand, if all M_i are \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion left R -modules, then for any \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat left R -module Q , we have the isomorphism

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(Q, \prod_{i \in I} M_i) \cong \prod_{i \in I} \text{Ext}_R^1(Q, M_i).$$

By assumption and Definition 2.1, we get $\text{Ext}_R^1(Q, M_i) = 0$ for any $i \in I$. So $\text{Ext}_R^1(Q, \prod_{i \in I} M_i) = 0$. Therefore $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$ is also \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion.

On the other hand, if $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$ is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion, then for any \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat left R -module Q , we have $\text{Ext}_R^1(Q, \prod_{i \in I} M_i) = 0$. so $\text{Ext}_R^1(Q, M_i) = 0$ for any $i \in I$ by the above isomorphism. We can get that all M_i are \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion modules.

(2) Assume that M, L are \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion modules. For any \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat left R -module Q , by applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(Q, -)$ to the sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$, we can get the following long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(Q, L) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(Q, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(Q, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(Q, L) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Since M, L are \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion modules, we have $\text{Ext}_R^1(Q, M) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^1(Q, L)$, so $\text{Ext}_R^1(Q, N) = 0$. It is easy to obtain that N is also \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion. \square

Lemma 3.1. *If R is a right coherent ring, then the class of \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat left R -modules are closed under extensions, kernels of epimorphisms, direct sums and direct summands.*

Theorem 3.2. *Let R be a right coherent ring and ${}_R M$ be a \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion module. Then*

$$\text{Ext}_R^i(Q, M) = 0,$$

for any \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat left R -module Q and any $i \geq 1$.

Proof. For any \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat left R -module Q , suppose $\cdots \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$ is a projective resolution of Q . Let $K_0 = \text{Ker}(P_0 \rightarrow Q)$, $K_j = \text{Ker}(P_j \rightarrow P_{j-1})$ ($j \geq 1$). Note that projective modules are \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat, so P_i is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat for any $i \geq 0$. Following Lemma 3.1, we can get K_j is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat for any $j \geq 0$. By applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(-, M)$ to above resolution, it is not difficult to obtain that

$$\text{Ext}_R^{j+1}(Q, M) \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(K_{j-1}, M) = 0,$$

which means $\text{Ext}_R^i(Q, M) = 0$ for any \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat left R -module Q and any $i \geq 2$. The situation $i = 1$ follows from Definition 2.1. \square

Proposition 3.1. *Let R be a right coherent ring and $(\varepsilon): 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of left R -modules. If N is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) M is a \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion module.
- (2) (ε) stays exact under $\text{Hom}_R(Q, -)$ for any \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat module Q .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) It is obvious.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) For any \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat module Q , By applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(Q, -)$ to the sequence (ε) , we can get the following long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(Q, L) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(Q, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(Q, N) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Since N is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion, we have $\text{Ext}_R^1(Q, N) = 0$. Note that (ε) stays exact under $\text{Hom}_R(Q, -)$, so we can get $\text{Ext}_R^1(Q, M) = 0$. By Definition 2.1, it is easy to know that M is a \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion module. \square

Corollary 3.1. *Let M be a right R -module with finite injective dimension. Then $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion module.*

Proof. Since Gorenstein cotorsion modules are \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion, it is not difficult to prove above conclusion by Proposition 2.4 in [7]. \square

Denote by \mathcal{YGF} (resp., \mathcal{YGC}) the class of all \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat (resp., cotorsion) left R -modules. By ([9], Theorem 4.13), we can get the following result.

Lemma 3.2. *If R is a right coherent ring and every left R -module has finite \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat dimension. Then $(\mathcal{YGF}, \mathcal{YGC})$ is a complete hereditary cotorsion theory.*

Theorem 3.3. *Let R be a right coherent ring, every left R -module has finite \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat dimension, and \mathcal{A} be a nonempty collection of left ideals of R . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *Every \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion module ${}_R M$ is \mathcal{A} -injective.*
- (2) *R/A is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) For any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, it is sufficient to prove $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/A, C) = 0$ for every \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion module C . Consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/A \rightarrow 0$ and induced exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R, C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(A, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/A, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R, C) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

by (1) and $\text{Ext}_R^1(R, C) = 0$, we can get $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/A, C) = 0$ for every \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion module C . According to Lemma 3.2, we obtain that R/A is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) For any \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion module ${}_R M$, it is only to prove $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/A, M) = 0$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, which means ${}_R M$ is \mathcal{A} -injective. By (2) and Definition 2.1, it is clear. \square

Lemma 3.3. (1) *Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow M$ be an \mathcal{X} -cover of M , and assume that \mathcal{X} is closed under extensions. Set $K = \text{Ker} \varphi$. Then $\text{Ext}_R^1(X', K) = 0$ for any $X' \in \mathcal{X}$.*

(2) *Let $\phi: M \rightarrow X$ be an \mathcal{X} -envelope of M , and assume that \mathcal{X} is closed under extensions. Set $D = \text{Coker} \phi = X/\phi(M)$. Then $\text{Ext}_R^1(D, X') = 0$ for any $X' \in \mathcal{X}$.*

Theorem 3.4. *Let R be a right coherent ring, and Q be a \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat cover of ${}_R M$ with $K = \text{Ker}(Q \rightarrow M)$. Then K is a \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion module. Moreover, if M is a \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion module, then Q is also a \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion module.*

Proof. According to Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3 (1), it can be obtained that $\text{Ext}_R^1(X', K) = 0$ for any \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat module X' . Then K is a \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion module by Definition 2.1. Moreover, if M is a \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion module, then Q is also a \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion module by properties of the Ext functor. \square

Theorem 3.5. *Let R be a right coherent ring, and H be a \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion envelope of ${}_R M$ with $D = \text{Coker}(M \rightarrow H)$. Then C is a \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat module. Moreover, if M is a \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat module, then H is also a \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat module.*

Proof. It is not difficult to prove by Theorem 3.1 (2) and Lemma 3.3 (2). \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let R be a commutative ring and P a flat R -module. If M is a \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat module, then $M \otimes_R P$ is a \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat module.*

Proof. Assume that M is a \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat module, then there exists an exact sequence of flat R -modules

$$\cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow \cdots$$

with $M \cong \text{Ker}(F^0 \rightarrow F^1)$ such that $Y \otimes_R -$ leaves the sequence exact for any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$. Since P is a flat module, we have exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow F_1 \otimes_R P \rightarrow F_0 \otimes_R P \rightarrow F^0 \otimes_R P \rightarrow F^1 \otimes_R P \rightarrow \cdots$$

with $M \otimes_R P \cong \text{Ker}(F^0 \otimes_R P \rightarrow F^1 \otimes_R P)$. Note that F_i, F^i are flat for all $i \geq 0$ and P is a flat module, we know that $F_i \otimes_R P, F^i \otimes_R P$ are flat modules. For any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$, consider the following commutative diagram (Diagram 1)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & Y \otimes_R (F_0 \otimes_R P) & \longrightarrow & Y \otimes_R (F^0 \otimes_R P) & \longrightarrow & Y \otimes_R (F^1 \otimes_R P) \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & (Y \otimes_R F_0) \otimes_R P & \longrightarrow & (Y \otimes_R F^0) \otimes_R P & \longrightarrow & (Y \otimes_R F^1) \otimes_R P \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

Diagram 1 the constructed commutative diagram

Since M is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat and P is flat, it is not difficult to obtain that the upper sequence of commutative diagram is exact. Therefore $M \otimes_R P$ is a \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat module. \square

Theorem 3.6. *Let R be a left perfect ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *Every left R -module is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion.*
- (2) *Every \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat left R -module is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) It is obvious.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) For any left R -module M , it is only to prove $\text{Ext}_R^1(Q, M) = 0$ for any \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat module Q . By the definition of the \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat modules, there is an exact sequence of flat left R -modules

$$\cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow \cdots$$

with $Q \cong \text{Ker}(F^0 \rightarrow F^1)$ such that $Y \otimes_R -$ leaves the sequence exact for any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$. Let $K = \text{Ker}(F^1 \rightarrow F^2)$, consider the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$, it is clear that K is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat. Following (2), we know Q is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion, and $\text{Ext}_R^1(K, Q) = 0$. By applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(K, -)$ to the sequence, we can get exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(K, Q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(K, F^0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(K, K) \rightarrow 0.$$

So Q is direct summand of F^0 . Since the class of all flat modules is closed under direct summands, Q is flat. Note that R is a left perfect, the class of all flat modules coincides with that of all projective modules, we have that Q is projective. So $\text{Ext}_R^1(Q, M) = 0$. Therefore, Every \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat left R -module is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein cotorsion. \square

Acknowledgements

We thank the referees and reviewers for their time and comments.

[References]

- [1] DING N, LI Y, MAO L. Strongly Gorenstein flat modules[J]. J. Aust. Math. Soc., 2009, 86(3): 323-338.
- [2] ENOCHS E E. Injective and flat covers, envelopes and resolvents[J]. Isr. J. math., 1981, 39(3): 189-209.
- [3] ENOCHS E E, JENDA O M G. Relative homological algebra[M]. Beilin: Walter De Gruyter, 2011.

-
- [4] ENOCHS E E, JENDA O M G, LÓPEZ-RAMOS J A. The existence of Gorenstein flat covers[J]. Math. Scand., 2004, 94(94): 46-62.
 - [5] ENOCHS E E, LPEZ RAMOS J A. Gorenstein flat modules[M]. Sweden: Inbunden engelska, 2001.
 - [6] HE D L, LI Y Y. Costar subcategories and cotilting subcategories with respect to cotorsion triples[J]. J. Math. Research Appl., 2020, 40(06): 558-576.
 - [7] LEI R , MENG F. Notes on Gorenstein cotorsion modules[J]. Math. Notes, 2014, 96(5): 716-731.
 - [8] MAO L, DING N. Notes on cotorsion modules[J]. Commun. Algebra, 2005, 33(1): 349-360.
 - [9] MENG F, PAN Q. X-Gorenstein projective and Y-Gorenstein injective modules[J]. Hacet. J. Math. Stat., 2011, 40(4): 537-554.
 - [10] REN W. Applications of cotorsion triples[J]. Commun. Algebra, 2019, 47(7): 2727-2741.
 - [11] SMITH P F. Injective modules and prime ideals[J]. Commun. Algebra, 1981, 9(9): 989-999.
 - [12] ZHAO L, WEI J, HU J. Weak Gorenstein cotorsion modules[J]. Algebra Colloq., 2018, 25(2): 265-276.